

Optimum stocking density of stinging catfish (*Heteropneustes fossilis*) based carp polyculture in homestead ponds in a drought prone area of Bangladesh

MD. AKHTAR HOSSAIN, S.M. NURUN NABI*, M. MANJURUL ALAM, MD. HARUN-UR-RASHID, MD. ANWAR HOSSAIN AND MD. ABU SIKENDAR Department of Fisheries, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh

Corresponding author's E-mail: nurunnabi1@yahoo.com

Abstract. An experiment was conducted during July to December, 2020 to optimize the stocking density of *Heteropneustes fossilis* while farming with carps (Rohu, *Labeo rohita*; catla, *Catla catla*; and silver carp, *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*) in homestead ponds of Tanore upazila (sub district) in Rajshahi district, Bangladesh. Three different stocking densities of stinging catfish were tested under three treatments such as T1:98800 fish/ha; T2:123500 fish/ha; and T3:148200 fish/ha in polyculture ponds stocked with similar density (988 fishes/ha) of carps (*L. rohita* 25%, *C. catla* 25% and *H. molitrix* 50%). Stinging catfish was fed with 35% protein content diet. Water quality was monitored monthly and found within acceptable range for fish culture. Treatment T₂ varied significantly (P<0.05) for total fish yield, net return and cost benefit ratio. **Key words:** *Heteropneustes fossilis*, Polyculture, Stocking density, Drought

Introduction

Farming of short cycle and high valued species with over wintered carp is important for effective utilization of homestead ponds (Nabi et al. 2020). Stinging catfish, Heteropneustes fossilis is considered as a high valued aquaculture species in many Asian countries (Akand et al. 1991). This species is a highly nutritious, palatable, tasty and well preferred because of its less spine, less fat and high digestibility in many parts of Indian subcontinent (Khan *et al.*, 2003). It is characterized by an accessory respiratory organ (air breathing organ) which enables it to exist for hours when out of water or in indefinitely oxygen-poor water and even in moist mud (Ali et al. 2014). This fish was naturally abundant in past but destruction of breeding ground due to environmental pollution and other causes results in less availability at present (Hossain et al., 2015) and has been listed as a threatened species (IUCN 2000). This indicates the necessity of aquaculture of this species through proper utilization of different waterbodies available in the country. Stocking density is one of the most important factors affecting fish growth (Garr et al. 2011). The problems of intensive stinging catfish farming are encountered as higher investment, lower survival rates, smaller harvesting sizes and higher feed conversion ratio (FCR) with the increase in stocking densities, deterioration of water quality with higher level of unionized ammonia (Rahman et al. 2014) due to release of fish feces and nutrient into water resulting planktonic bloom and natural food organisms that produced in the pond remain unused (Samad et al. 2017). There are several research efforts for exploring the potentials of farming of stinging catfish (Chakraborty and Nur 2012, Saokat et al. 2017), feed and nutrition (Samad et al. 2017) and stocking density (Ali et al. 2017; Kohinoor et al. 2012, Rahman et al. 2014, Samad and Bhuiyan 2017) in the country. Most of the efforts done goes for development of monoculture of stinging catfish, polyculture of this species with popular carp species is rarely addressed, moreover, size and seasonality of the ponds and climate change aspects are also not considered accordingly. Although suitable species combination of carp species in stinging catfish based

https://doi.org/10.52168/bjf.2021.33.20

polyculture has been identified by Nabi *et al.* (2020) but effect of stocking densities of stinging catfish yet to be studied. Therefore, the present study aimed at evaluating the production and economics of stinging catfish (*Heteropneustes fossilis*) based carp polyculture at different stocking densities of *H. fossilis* in homestead ponds of drought prone area. The specific objectives of this study were to monitor the water quality and fish growth; to evaluate the production and economics of stinging catfish based carp polyculture under different treatments; and finally, to recommend suitable stocking density of *H. fossilis* for stinging catfish based polyculture in homestead ponds under drought prone area in Bangladesh.

Materials and Methods

Study area and experimental period: The experiment was carried out for a period of six months from July to December 2020 in farmer managed homestead ponds (mean area of 0.04ha and depth of 1.36m) located at Jogisho village (N 24^o35.750-24^o35.905, E 88^o32.004-88^o32.427) of Tanore upazila (sub district) under Rajshahi district, Bangladesh.

Experimental design: Three different densities of stinging catfish, *H. fossilis* was tested under three treatments like T_1 :98800 fish/ha, T_2 :123500 fish/ha, and T_3 :148200 fish/ha in polyculture ponds stocked with similar density (988 fishes/ha) of carps (*L. rohita* 25%, *G. catla* 25% and *H. molitrix* 50%). All the treatments replicated thrice.

Pond management: Aquatic weeds were removed manually from all the experimental ponds. Unwanted fishes and predatory species were also removed from the ponds through repeated netting using seine net. All the ponds were disinfected through liming at the rate of 500 kg/ha during pond preparation and 50 kg/ha/fortnight as periodic dose after stocking according to Hossain (2011). Only inorganic fertilizers were applied (urea @ 1.2 kg/ha/day; and TSP, Triple Super Phosphate @ 1.2 kg/ha/day) to increase the natural food organisms. After three days of liming, basal fertilization was done. TSP was wetted overnight to be diluted and spread over the ponds at following sunny day whereas urea was applied instantly. All the ponds were left for 5 days to allow stabilization of pond water's primary productivity. Wild Indian major carps (Rohu, L. rohita and catla, G. catla) and hatchery produced stinging catfish (shing, H. fossilis) and exotic carp (Silver carp, Hypophthalmichthys molitrix) fingerlings were stocked in the ponds under different treatments. Overwintered carp fingerlings were used in the present experiment whereas stinging catfish fingerlings were shifted from nearby nursery rearing to experimental ponds for stocking. Floating pelleted feed having 35% protein content (Table I) was given to stinging catfish @ 7.0% of the body weight. Feeding was done twice daily at morning (10:00 am) and afternoon (5:00 pm).

Table I. Proxima	ate composition	of the feed	l used for	 stinging catfish
------------------	-----------------	-------------	------------	--------------------------------------

Moisture	Crude	Fat (%)	Fiber	Ash (%)	Calcium	Phosphorus	Metabolic
(%)	Protein (%)		(%)		(%)	(%)	energy (Kcal)
11	35	4	7	12	2.5	0.6	2850

Monitoring of water quality parameters: Water quality parameters such as temperature, transparency, pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), alkalinity, carbon di-oxide (CO₂), ammonia-nitrogen

(NH₃-N), total dissolved solids (TDS) and plankton concentration of the experimental ponds were measured between 09:30 am and 10:30 am at pond sites in each month. Water temperature (^{0}C) was recorded with the help of a Celsius thermometer at 20-30 cm below the water surface while water transparency (cm) was recorded with the help of a Secchi disk. Alkalinity (mg/l) and NH₃-N (mg/l) were measured by a HACH kit (FF2, USA). DO (mg/l), pH and TDS (mg/l) were determined by a Multimeter (HQ 40 D, HACH, USA). Phytoplankton and zooplankton were identified according to Ward and Whipple (1959), Prescott (1962) and Bellinger (1992) and their concentration in water (number/l) was determined using a microscope after Stirling (1985) in laboratory.

Monitoring of fish growth and yield: Fortnightly sampling was done to monitor growth performance and adjust the feeding ration. During sampling, about 10% of the stocked individual fish species were caught from each pond using a cast net to assess the growth performances of the fishes. Just after harvesting, all fishes were counted and weighted from each pond. Growth, survival and production performances of fishes under all the treatments were analyzed according to Brett and Groves (1979) as follows:

- i. Initial weight (g) = Weight of fish at stock
- ii. Final weight (g) = Weight of fish at harvest
- iii. Weight gain (g) = Mean final weight (g)- Mean initial weight (g)
- SGR (%, bwd⁻¹) = $\frac{L_n \text{ final weight }L_n \text{ initial weight}}{Culture period} \times 100$ Survival rate (%) = $\frac{No. \text{ of fish harvested}}{No. \text{ of fish stocked}} \times 100$ iv.
- v.
- vi. Yield (kg/ha) = Fish biomass at harvest – Fish biomass at stock

Economic analysis: A simple cost-benefit analysis was done to evaluate the economics of stinging catfish based carp polyculture under different treatments in homestead ponds. After termination of the study, all the fishes were sold in a local market. The actual prices of inputs and fish linked to the market prices in Rajshahi, Bangladesh in 2020 were expressed in Bangladesh currency (Taka) as BDT (1 US\$= 83.2863 BDT, as of 21 January 2021). Data on fixed (lime, fertilizer and labor) and variable costs (seed and feed) were recorded at the time of purchase to determine the total cost (BDT ha⁻¹). Total return was determined from the market price of fish at the time of sale and was expressed as BDT ha⁻¹. Net benefit was calculated by deducting the total cost from total return and was expressed as BDT ha⁻¹. Cost benefit ratio (CBR= Net benefit/total cost) was also determined following Shang (1990) for the present study.

Data analysis: Data on water quality parameters, fish growth and yield, and economics of stinging catfish based carp polyculture under different treatments were exposed to one way ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) using computer software SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science, version-20) (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Before analysis, the normality of data was checked. The mean values were also compared by Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) following Gomez and Gomez (1984) at 5% level of significance when a mean effect was significant. All data were expressed as mean \pm standard error (S.E.).

Results

Water quality parameters: Treatments varied significantly (P < 0.05) in the mean values of DO, NH₃-N, zooplankton and total plankton concentration (Table II). Lowest DO content, highest values of CO₂ and ammonia-nitrogen were measured with treatment T₃. Highest concentration of total plankton were found with T₁.

Water quality		F-	P-		
	T_1	T_2	Т3	value	value
Temperature (°C)	30.49 ± 0.08^{a}	30.68 ± 0.15^{a}	30.61 ± 0.06^{a}	0.82	0.48
Transparency (cm)	26.16 ± 0.28^{a}	25.55 ± 0.38^{a}	25.83 ± 0.48^{a}	0.72	0.52
pН	6.84 ± 0.12^{a}	6.86 ± 0.08^{a}	6.84 ± 0.15^{a}	0.01	0.99
DO (mg/l)	5.64 ± 0.14^{a}	5.50 ± 0.15^{a}	5.20 ± 0.13^{b}	2.96	0.04
Alkalinity (mg/l)	95.22 ± 3.26^{a}	93.83 ± 3.52^{a}	89.33 ± 1.43^{a}	1.21	0.36
CO ₂ (mg/l)	1.98 ± 0.10^{a}	2.09 ± 0.09^{a}	2.18 ± 0.11^{a}	0.93	0.44
NH3-N (mg/l)	0.04 ± 0.00^{b}	0.05 ± 0.00^{a}	0.06 ± 0.00^{a}	10.76	0.01
TDS (mg/l)	261.33 ± 5.87^{a}	267.55 ± 8.87^{a}	259.72 ± 2.19^{a}	0.44	0.66
Phytoplankton	99000.00 ± 629^{a}	97211.11 ± 515^{a}	99016.66±2335 ^a	0.53	0.62
Zooplankton	87888.88±811 ^a	87238.89 ± 505^{a}	81705.56 ± 2056^{b}	6.73	0.03
Total plankton	186888.89 ± 1084^{a}	184450.00 ± 231^{ab}	180722.22 ± 2156^{b}	4.92	0.04

Table II. Mean water quality parameters under different treatments during the study

Figures bearing common letter(s) in a row as superscript do not differ significantly (p>0.05)

Fish growth and yield: No significant difference (p > 0.05) in growth of *H. molitrix* and *L. rohita* was found among the treatments (Table III). Significantly (p < 0.05) lower survival rate of *H. fossilis* was recorded in treatment T₃ (highest density). Comparatively lower growth (in terms of weight gain) of stinging catfish was recorded in winter period (Figs. 1 & 2). Significantly (p < 0.05) highest yield of stinging catfish and of total fish yield were obtained with treatment T₂ (Table IV).

Table III.	Fish growth	under three	different	treatments	during st	udv fro	m Julv to	December.	2020
								/	

Species	Treatment	Initial weight	Final weight (g)	Weight gain	SGR	Survival rate
1		(g)	e e	(g/6 months)	(%, bwd ⁻¹)	(%)
H. fossilis	T 1	1.55 ± 0.11^{a}	93.48 ± 48.86^{a}	91.93 ± 4.83^{a}	2.27 ± 0.04 ^a	80.05±1.49a
	T2	1.57 ± 0.08^{a}	88.05 ± 4.10^{ab}	86.48 ± 4.13^{ab}	2.23 ± 0.04 ^a	78.75±1.74a
	T3	1.56 ± 0.11^{a}	78.21±3.37 ^b	76.65 ± 3.48^{b}	2.17 ± 0.07^{a}	$70.03 \pm 2.00b$
H. molitrix	T 1	216.00 ± 3.21^{a}	1141.68 ± 39.45^{a}	910.35 ± 41.13^{a}	0.88 ± 0.02^{a}	74.08 ± 4.16^{a}
	T2	219.33 ± 2.02^{a}	1093.73 ± 48.50^{a}	865.40 ± 55.07^{a}	0.86 ± 0.04^{a}	70.78 ± 3.51^{a}
	T3	220.67 ± 4.25^{a}	1026.93 ± 40.25^{a}	801.60 ± 35.79^{a}	0.84 ± 0.01 a	67.74 ± 1.47^{a}
C. catla	T 1	231.33 ± 3.75^{a}	927.11 ± 30.34^{a}	711.11 ± 29.27^{a}	0.80 ± 0.01^{a}	72.60 ± 4.19^{a}
	T2	228.33 ± 6.56^{a}	872.50 ± 32.42^{ab}	653.17±34.42 ^{ab}	0.76 ± 0.02^{ab}	69.50 ± 3.80^{a}
	T3	225.33 ± 8.37^{a}	811.82±29.22 ^b	591.16 ± 27.34^{b}	0.72 ± 0.02^{b}	66.53 ± 3.80^{a}
L. rohita	T 1	117.66 ± 4.05^{a}	773.49 ± 23.42^{a}	655.83 ± 26.86^{a}	1.04 ± 0.03^{a}	70.04 ± 2.63^{a}
	T2	113.67 ± 2.84^{a}	732.35 ± 51.25^{a}	618.68 ± 48.83^{a}	1.03 ± 0.02^{a}	67.88 ± 2.70^{a}
	T3	115.33 ± 2.84^{a}	684.18 ± 28.38^{a}	568.85 ± 31.20^{a}	0.98 ± 0.04^{a}	64.91 ± 2.22^{a}

*Means followed by different alphabet superscripts in the same row are significantly different (p < 0.05).

MD. AKHTAR HOSSAIN et al.





Fig. 1. Fortnightly variation in weight gain of stinging catfish under different treatments.

Fig. 2. Monthly variation in water temperature of the study ponds (irrespective of treatments).

Species		F- value	P- value		
	T_1	T_2	T 3		
H. fossilis	$7252.34 \pm 500b$	8368.65±437.69a	7867.99±178b	2.98	0.04
H. molitrix	304.77±36a	269.69 <u>+</u> 28.91a	232.88±18.87a	1.52	0.28
G. catla	$112.35 \pm 4a$	95.94±9.98a	81.29±7.29a	2.73	0.14
L. rohita	$104.58 \pm 5a$	94.90±9.98a	81.29±7.29a	2.29	0.18
All species	7774.06±494b	8829.19±444a	$8261.41 \pm 181ab$	2.75	0.04

Table IV I	Zich -	dald ((lea/ha/6	monthal	undon th	hman .	diffonant	tractmonto	duning	at and a
тарету. г	' ISH V	ieiu	IK2/11a/0	IIIOIILIIS)	under u	iree (unierent	treatments	auring	SLUGY
										~~~~,

Figures bearing common letter(s) in a row as superscript do not differ significantly (P > 0.05)

*Economics of stinging catfish based carp polyculture at different densities:* Mean values of total cost varied significantly (p < 0.05) among the treatments and increased total cost was noted with increased stocking density (Table V and Fig. 3). Treatment T₂ varied significantly (p < 0.05) for the mean values of total return, net benefit and CBR.

# Discussion

*Water quality parameters*: Water quality parameters under the different treatments were found more or less within suitable range for fish culture (Boyd, 1998). Mean water temperature with the treatments ranged from  $30.49\pm0.08$  to  $30.61\pm0.06$  °C and transparency ranged from  $25.55\pm0.38$  to  $26.16\pm0.28$  cm during study. Boyd (1998) recommended suitable water temperature of 25 to 32 °C for tropical and subtropical aquaculture species and transparency ranged from 30 to 45 cm as good for fish culture. The pH values of the different treatments were found to be slightly acidic ranged from  $6.84\pm0.12$  to  $6.86\pm0.08$  with the treatments. Rahman *et al.* (2014) reported mean values of pH ranged from  $7.62\pm0.63$  to  $7.81\pm0.62$  in stinging catfish farming ponds. DO content ( $5.20\pm0.13$  to  $5.64\pm0.14$  mg/l) obtained with the treatments was found suitable for fish farming. Rahman *et al.* (2012) measured dissolved

oxygen at 4.23 to 5.32 mg/l in stinging catfish cultured ponds. DO content in the present study agrees with above authors. Comparatively lower alkalinity was measured with the treatments  $(89.33\pm1.43$  to  $95.22\pm3.26)$ . Reason for comparatively lower values of water pH, transparency and alkalinity obtained with the treatments in the present study might be due to the characteristic soil-water quality of the study area (Hossain 2011). Comparatively lower mean values of CO₂ were measured  $(1.98\pm0.10 \text{ to } 2.18\pm0.11 \text{ mg/l})$  in the pond water under different treatments. Reasons of lower CO2 values could be lower organic decomposition and higher rate of photosynthesis (Rahman et al. 2017). The mean values of ammonia-nitrogen (NH₃-N) was found  $(0.04\pm0.00$  to  $0.06\pm0.00$  mg/l) similar to the values  $(0.033\pm0.012$  to  $0.055\pm0.031)$ reported by Kohinoor et al. (2012) while working on stinging catfish in ponds. Rahman et al. (2014) reported ammonia-nitrogen contents from 0.08 to 0.12 mg/l in the mono culture of stinging catfish farming ponds which was higher than the present study. This might be due to use of carps as co-species which improved the water quality of the experimental ponds. The mean TDS values  $(259.72\pm2.19 \text{ to } 267.55\pm8.87 \text{ mg/l})$  recorded with the treatments found to be suitable range of fish culture as Rana and Jain (2017) mentioned fishes were not affected by standard concentrations of TDS of 2000 mg/l. Mean total plankton concentration was found lower in  $T_3$  (180722.22±2156.01) as compared to  $T_1$  (186888.89±1084.58) and  $T_2$  $(184450.00\pm231.14)$ . Reasons might be utilization of planktons by carps especially silver carp having ability to control plankton bloom, increase DO level and decrease ammonia level in ponds (Milstein et al. 2008).

Items			F-value	P-value	
	T1	T2	T3		
Variable cost (	BDT/ha)				
Feed	716118.33±27079.71 ^b	$742617.33 \pm 20660^{ab}$	$802270.33 \pm 13627^{a}$	4.34	0.04
Seed	267077.39±153.35 ^b	$328651.20 \pm 305^{ab}$	$390359.62 \pm 468.21^{a}$	3392.71	0.00
Fixed cost (BD	DT/ha)	<u>.</u>			
Lime	$15000.00 \pm 0.00$	$15000.00 \pm 0$	$15000.00 \pm 0.00$	-	-
Fertilizer	$7440.00 \pm 0.00$	$7440.00 \pm 0.00$	$7440.00 \pm 0.00$	-	-
Labour	$23500.00 \pm 0.00$	$23500.00 \pm 0.00$	$23500.00 \pm 0.00$	-	-
Harvest	$19500.00 \pm 0.00$	$19500.00 \pm 0.00$	$19500.00 \pm 0.00$	-	-
Total cost (BDT/ha)	$1045935.72 \pm 27014.32^{b}$	$1134008.54 \pm 20883.45^{ab}$	1255369.95±13185ª	24.76	0.01
Total return (BDT/ha)	2708732.00±19990.41 ^b	3085799.51±15083.06 ^a	2856863.31±569779	2.87	0.04
Net benefit (BDT/ha)	1662796.24±15099.85 ^b	1951790.97±17130.52ª	1601493.35±43792 ^b	2.94	0.04
CBR	$1.58 \pm 0.10^{ab}$	$1.73 \pm 0.19^{a}$	$1.28 \pm 0.02^{b}$	3.52	0.04

 Table V. Economics of stinging catfish based carp polyculture under different treatments of stocking densities (calculated for 1 ha pond) for six months culture period

Figures bearing common letter(s) in a row as superscript do not differ significantly (p>0.05)



Fig. 3. Relation between stocking density and total cost.

Fish growth and yield: comparatively lower weight gain of H. fossilis was found at the beginning of the experimental period (Fig. 3). Reason might be due to lower weight of fries at stocking (below the catch up growth) and then rapid weight gain in the next fortnights might be due to higher metabolic rate at suitable temperature and other favorable water quality parameters (Fig. 2). Generally, increasing the prevailing temperature leads to exponentially increasing growth starting from a certain point of size to up to a certain point after which growth starts to rapidly decrease (Jobling et al. 1994). Significant variation was found with the treatments for mean final weight, weight gain and SGR of H. fossilis. Chakraborty and Mirza (2008) reported final weight of H. fossilis as 53.72 to 37.28g at density 98800 to 123500/ha fed on 32.2% protein feed @ 3-5% of fish body weight. While Samad et al. (2017) mentioned final weight of H. fossilis as 34.50 to 48.10g using formulated feed with 27-31% dietary protein level @ 5% of fish body weight. The findings of the present study was higher than above authors. This might be due to use of higher protein content feed applied at appropriate rates and better pond management practices. Highest mean vale of SGR was recorded in treatment T₁ (2.27 $\pm$ 0.04) followed by T₂ (2.23 $\pm$ 0.04) and T₃ (2.17 $\pm$ 0.07) which was closer to Kohinoor *et al.* (2012) reporting SGR range of 2.13 to 2.33 in mono culture of *H. fossilis*. Mean values of survival rate of stinging catfish  $(70.03\pm2.00 \text{ to } 80.05\pm1.49\%)$  found during the experimental period was almost closer to the range reported by Chakraborty and Nur (2012) who obtained survival rate of *H. fossilis* between 67.74 and 90.76% in semi-intensive polyculture with Anabas *testudineus*. Highest value of survival rate  $(80.05 \pm 1.49\%)$  of stinging catfish was gained with treatment T₁ which received lowest stocking density. Higher survival rate with lower stocking density was also reported by Ali et al. (2018), Kohinoor et al. (2012) and Rahman et al. (2014) working on stinging catfish farming in ponds.

In the present study, the yield of *H. fossilis* of treatment  $T_2$  was found to be 15.39% and 6.36% higher than treatments  $T_1$  and  $T_3$ , respectively. While the yield of carps in treatment  $T_1$  was 13.28% and 31.92% higher than treatments  $T_2$  and  $T_3$ , respectively. However, the combined fish yield (kg/ha/6 months) of treatment  $T_2$  was measured at 13.57% and 6.87% higher compared to treatment  $T_1$  and  $T_3$ , respectively. Although the mean weight gain was

highest in treatment  $T_1$  but total yield was highest in  $T_2$ . The reasons behind the highest fish yield with treatment T₂ (density of *H. fossilis* 123,500/ha with carp polyculture) might be the suitable stocking density of *H. fossilis*. Chakraborty and Mirza (2008) found that the production of *H. fossilis* in monoculture system was 3676 to 55150 kg/ha/8 months fed on 32.2% protein feed at the stocking densities from 74,100 to 123,500/ha in earthen ponds. While Khan et al. (2003) evaluated the production of H. fossilis under stocking densities of 80,000 and 100,000 fishes/ha and recorded the gross production range of 3189 to 3364 kg/ha/4 months. The yield obtained in the present experiment was much higher than the above mentioned results. Saokat et al. (2017) also reported that the growth, survival rate and production of stinging catfish was significantly influenced by the density at which they were stocked. Findings of the study clearly indicated that increase in stocking density did not produce increased yield. Comparatively moderate growth and highest yield were obtained with treatment  $T_2$  and that of lowest growth and yield was obtained with treatment T₃. Lower growth and yield performance with higher stocking density might be due to the competition for food, space and DO concentration with stress on the fishes. Assumption almost agreed with Houde (1975) reporting that higher stocking density in presence of abundant feed might produce a comparative interaction among the population causing a stressful situation. Such a lower yield with higher density was also recorded well by Ali et al. (2018), while working with H. fossilis farming in pond.

*Economics of stinging catfish based carp polyculture*: Comparatively higher cost noted in treatment  $T_3$  might be due to the higher stocking density and thereby higher cost involvement of fish seed and feed with that treatment. Strong correlation between stocking density and total cost (Fig. 3) indicated that the total cost of production for stinging catfish based polyculture increased with the increase in densities of H. fossilis. Rahman et al. (2014) also reported lower total cost of production with lower stocking density of stinging catfish in ponds. Significantly highest net benefit was found in treatment  $T_2$  which was 17.34% and 21.87% higher than the treatments T₁ and T₃, respectively. Ali et al. (2018) obtained net benefit as 636,779 to 1,517,771 BDT/ha/180 days while working with H. fossilis under different stocking densities ranging from 148, 200 to 197,600/ha with GIFT and silver barb in ponds. The net benefit obtained in the present study was much higher than Saokat et al. (2017) and Rahman et al. (2014) reporting the net benefit of BDT 959,116.04/ha/5months and 9,277.32 BDT/ha/6 months respectively. Significantly highest CBR was found in treatment T₂ ( $1.73\pm0.19$ ) than that of treatment T₁  $(1.58\pm0.10)$  and T₃  $(1.28\pm0.02)$ . The CBR obtained with treatment T₂  $(1.73\pm0.19)$  was almost closer to the CBR (1.69) obtained by Saokat et al. (2017) working on H. fossilis farming in seasonal ponds at density of 123550 fishes/ha.

Overall findings indicated that treatment  $T_2$  (density 123500/ha of *H. fossilis*) was found best in terms of water quality; fish growth and yield; and economics of stinging catfish based carp polyculture in homestead ponds in drought prone area. This study used only one feed having 35% protein content for stinging catfish based carp polyculture. Further study is required for optimizing the dietary protein content for stinging catfish in polyculture of carps in homestead ponds under drought prone area.

Acknowledgement: The authors are grateful to GARE/BANBEIS/Ministry of Education/GoB for providing financial support (Memo No.37.20.0000.004.033.020.2016.1053 dated 13.10.2019) for this research project to address critical climate change issues of aquaculture in homestead ponds under the drought prone area of Bangladesh.

#### **Literature Cited**

- Akand, A.M., M.R. Hasan, and M.A.B. Habib, 1991. Utilization of carbohydrate and lipid as dietary energy sources by stinging catfish (*Heteropneustes fossilis*). In: Fish nutrition research in Asia, SS De Silva (Editors). Fourth Asian Fish Nutrition Workshop, Asian Fisheries Society, Manila, Philippines. pp. 93-100.
- Ali, A., M.R. Rahman, M.J. Alam, A.A. Nishat, M.F. Rabbi, M.A. Haque, R. Islam, M.R. Azam, and M.A. Ullah, 2018. Production of stinging catfish (*Heteropneustes fossilis*) in different stocking densities with GIFT (*Oreochromis niloticus*) and Thai Sharpunti (*Barbonymus gonionotus*) in ponds. J. Fish. Life Sci., 3(1): 9-15.
- Ali, M. F., M.M. Rahman, M.K. Bashar, R. Rahmatullah, M. Hadiuzzaman, M.R. Amin, 2014. Comparative study on induced breeding of shing, *Heteropneustes fossilis* (Bloch) between HCG and PG with different combination. *Int J Fish Aquat Stud*, 2(2): 104-8.
- Ali, A., M.R. Rahman, M.K. Hossain, N. Sultana, S.M. Shanta, M.M. Bella, M.F. Ali, M.A. Hossain, M.S.U. Kabir and R. Islam, 2017. Stocking density effects on growth indices, survival and production of stinging catfish shing (*Heteropneustes fossilis*) in secondary nursing. *Int. J. Fish. Aquat. Stud.*, 5(6): 269-274
- Bellinger, E.G., 1992. A key to common Algae: freshwater, estuarine and coastal species. The institute of Water and Environmental Management, London, UK, 138p.
- Boyd, C.E., 1998. Water quality for pond aquaculture. Research and development series No.43. Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station. Auburn University, Alabama, USA. 37p.
- Brett, J.R. and T.D.D. Groves, 1979. Physiological energetics. In *Fish Physiology, vol. VIII, Bioenergetics and Growth*, edited by W.S. Hoar, D.J. Randall, and J.R. Brett, New York, NY: Academic Press, pp. 280–352.
- Chakraborty, B.K. and N.N. Nur, 2012. Growth and yield performance of stinging catfish, *Heteropneustes fossilis* and koi, *Anabas testudineus* in Bangladesh under semi-intensive culture systems. *Int. J. Agric. Res. Innov. Technol.*, 2-2: 15-24.
- Chakraborty, B.K., and J.A. Mirza. 2008. Growth and yield performance of threatened singi, *Heteropneustes fossilis* (Bloch) under semi intensive aquaculture of Bangladesh. J. Fish. Soc. Taiwan. 35-2: 175-183
- Garr, A.L., H. Lopez, R. Pierce and M. Davis, 2011. The effect of stocking density and diet on the growth and survival of cultured Florida apple snails, *Pomacea paludosa. Aquaculture*, 311: 139–145.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez, 1984. Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research. 2nd Ed. John Wiley & Sons. 697p.
- Hossain, M.A., 2011. Effect of fertilization techniques on fish growth in ponds under red soil zone of northern Bangladesh. *Bangladesh J. Prog. Sci. Technol.*, IX-2: 192–196.
- Hossain, M.Y., R. Islam, Z.F. Ahmed, M.M. Rahman, M.A. Hossen, S.M.A. Naser, and R. I. Rasel, 2015. Threatened fishes of the world: *Heteropneustes fossilis* (Bloch, 1794) (Siluriformes: Heteropneustidae). Croatian J. Fish., 73: 77-79.
- Houde, E.D., 1975. Effect of stocking density and food density on survival, growth and yield of laboratory reared of sea Brea, Archosargus rhomboidales (L.) (Sparidae). J. Fish. Biol., 7: 115-127.
- IUCN, Bangladesh, 2000. Red Book of Threatened Fish of Bangladesh. The world Conservation Union. Appendix 1, 61p.

STOCKING DENSITY OF STINGING CATFISH BASED CARP POLYCULTURE IN HOMESTEAD PONDS

- Jobling, M., O.H. Meloy, J.D. Santos and B. Christiansen, 1994. The compensatory growth response of the Atlantic Cod: effects of nutritional history. *Aquac. Intl.*, 2: 75-90.
- Khan, M.N., A.K.M.S. Islam, and M.G. Hossain, 2003. Marginal analysis of culture of stinging catfish (*Heteropneustes fossilis*, Bloch): Effect of different stocking densities in earthen ponds. *Pakistan. J. Biol. Sci.*, 6-7: 666-670.
- Kohinoor, A.H.M., M.M. Khan, S. Yeasmine, P. Mandol and M.S. Islam, 2012. Effects of stocking density on growth and production performance of indigenous stinging catfish, *Heteropneustes fossilis* (Bloch). *Int. J. Agril. Res. Innov. Technol.*, 2(2): 9-14.
- Milstein, A., A. Kadir and M.A. Wahab, 2008. The effects of partially substituting Indian carps or adding silver carp on polycultures including small indigenous fish species (SIS). *Aquaculture*, 279-1-4: 92-98.
- Nabi, S.M.N., M.A. Hossain, M.M. Alam, M. Harun-Ur-Rashid, M.A. Hossain, 2020. Effect of carp species combination on production and economics of stinging catfish, *Heteropneustes fossilis* based polyculture in homestead ponds under drought prone area of Bangladesh. J. Fish., 8-3: 920–927.
- Prescott, G.W., 1962. Algae of the Western Great Lakes Area. WMC. Grown Co., Inc. Dubugue, Iowa, 946p.
- Rahaman, A.K.M.F., M.A. Mansur and M.S. Rahman, 2017. Monthly and diurnal variations of limnological conditions of two ponds. *Int. J. Agril. Res. Innov. Technol.* 7 -1: 14-20.
- Rahman, M.S., M.Y. Chowdhury, A.K.M.A. Haque, and M.S. Haq, 1992. Limnological studies of four ponds. *Bangladesh J. Fish.*, 3-5: 63-68.
- Rahman, S., S.M. Monir, and M.M.H. Shirajum, 2014. Culture potentials of stinging catfish (*Heteropneustes fossilis*) under different stocking densities in northern region of Bangladesh. *Trends Fish. Res.*, 3-3: 1-6.
- Rana, N. and S. Jain, 2017. Assessment of physico-chemical parameters of freshwater ponds of district Bijnor (U. P), India. J. Entomol. Zool. Stud., 5-4: 524-528.
- Samad, M.A. and A.S. Bhuiyan, 2017. Stocking density of threatened cat fish *Heteropneustes fossilis* (Bloch, 1792) in seasonal ponds of Rajshahi, Bangladesh. *Bangladesh J. Sci. Ind. Res.*, 52-4, 253-262.
- Samad, M.A., M. Nahiduzzaman, M. Ashrafuzzaman, M.A. Harun-ur-Rashid and M. Akter, 2017. Culture of indigenous catfish Shingi, *Heteropneustes fossilis* (Bloch, 1794), with available low cost formulated feed in earthen ponds of Bangladesh. *J. Coast. Life Med.*, 5-7: 288-292.
- Saokat, A., K.R. Hasan, M. Hossain, Y. Mahmud and M.K. Rahman, 2017. Adaptability of polyculture of stinging catfish (*Heteropneustes fossilis*) in seasonal water bodies of greater northern region, Bangladesh. *Int. J. Fish. Aquat. Stud.*, 5-1: 433-439.
- Shang, Y.C., 1990. Aquaculture Economic Analysis: an introduction. The World Aquaculture Society, Baton Rouge. 211p.
- Stirling, H.P., 1985. Chemical and Biological methods of water analysis for aquaculture. Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, Scotland, 119p.
- Ward, H.B., and G.C. Whipple, 1959. *Freshwater Biology*. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York. Washington DC 20006, USA.

(Manuscript Received: 14 October 2021)